1 (Stipulating Parties Listed on Signature Pages) 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) Case No. 07-5944 SC ANTITRUST LITIGATION 5 MDL No. 1917 This Document Relates to: 6 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULING 7 Electrograph Sys., Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-8 cv-01656: 9 Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05502; 10 Best Buy Co., Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05513; 11 Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05514; 12 Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-13 cv-06275; 14 Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-06276: 15 CompuCom Sys., Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-16 06396: 17 Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11cv-06397; 18 P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp. v. 19 Hitachi, Ltd., No. 12-cv-02648; Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 20 12-cv-02649; 21 Tech Data Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd, No. 13-cv-00157; 22 Sharp Elecs. Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 13-cv-23 01173: 24 Dell Inc. v. Hitachi Ltd., No. 13-cv-02171; 25 Sharp Elecs. Corp. v. Koninklijke Philips Elecs. N.V., No. 13-cv-02776; 26 State of California v. Samsung SDI Co., LTD., No. CGC-11-515784. 27 28

Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1991 Filed10/07/13 Page2 of 3

WHEREAS, on September 13, 2013, the Court held a status conference, following which it entered a minute order requesting that parties for the Direct Action Plaintiffs ("DAPs"), Indirect Purchaser Class Plaintiffs ("IPPs"), and Defendants submit a new stipulated scheduling order and vacating the trial dates in the DAP and IPP actions (Dkt. No. 1931); IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the IPPs, DAPs, the California Attorney General, and counsel for the undersigned Defendants in the above-captioned actions as follows: **SCHEDULE** January 21, 2014 Last day for IPPs, DAPs, and the California Attorney General to serve opening expert reports on the merits; last day for Defendants to serve opening expert reports on affirmative defenses; April 22, 2014 Last day for Defendants to serve opposition expert reports on the merits; last day for IPPs, DAPs, and the California Attorney General to serve opposition expert reports on affirmative defenses;

Last day for IPPs, DAPs, and the California Attorney General to

serve rebuttal expert reports on the merits; last day for Defendants

to serve rebuttal expert reports on affirmative defenses;

Last day to file oppositions to dispositive motions;

Last day to file replies in support of dispositive motions;

Hearing on dispositive motions; the last day for actions filed outside

of N.D. Cal. to be returned to courts in which they were originally

13

July 22, 2014 15

September 5, 2014

November 21, 2014

December 19, 2014

January 16, 2015

October 3, 2014

17

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

18 19

20

22

23

21

24 25

26

27

28

Close of fact and expert discovery;

Last day to file dispositive motions;

2

The California Attorney General joins in this stipulation, but only insofar as it concerns the close of fact and expert discovery on Defendants, the date of expert reports, mediation, and settlement discussions.

² The following entities are opposing motions to amend certain DAP complaints and/or contesting personal jurisdiction and do not join in this stipulation: Technicolor SA (f/k/a Thomson SA), Technicolor USA, Inc. (f/k/a Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.), Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America, Inc., and Mitsubishi Electric US, Inc. (f/k/a Mitsubishi Electric and Electronics, USA, Inc.). In addition, on August 1, 2013, Special Master Legge entered an Order (Dkt. No. 1820) staying discovery against Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc., so it is not participating in discovery.

Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1991 Filed10/07/13 Page3 of 3

1		filed will be 5 days after the Court rules on dispositive motions;
2	January 23, 2015*	Last day for filing motions in limine and other non-dispositive pre-
3		trial motions;
4	January 30, 2015	Last day to meet and confer re pre-trial order;
5	February 6, 2015	Parties must exchange proposed exhibits and witness lists;
6	February 6, 2015	Last day for filing pre-trial order, agreed set of jury instructions and
7		verdict forms;
8	February 13, 2015	Last day for filing oppositions to motions in limine;
9	February 20, 2015	Last day for filing replies in support of motions in limine;
10	February 27, 2015	Hearing on motions in limine;
11	February 27, 2015	Final pre-trial conference;
12	March 9, 2015	Trial(s).
13	*All deadlines from January 23, 2015 onward do not apply to those actions that were filed	
14	outside of the N.D. Cal. and, following the Court's rulings on dispositive motions, they will be	
15	returned to the courts in which they were originally filed.	
16	Additionally, the Pa	arties, including the California Attorney General, will discuss
17	settlement, including the Court's suggestion that the Parties consider whether it would be	
18	beneficial to appoint a settlement mediator, and will report back to the Court with a separate	
19	proposal on this subject after meeting and conferring on this issue.	
20	***	
21	The undersigned Parties jointly and respectfully request that the Court enter this	
22	stipulation as an order.	
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24		January 1945
25	Dated: October 7, 201	
26		Hon. Samuel Conti United States District Judge
27		

28